Norwegian University of Science and Technology NTNU

Department of Computer and Information Science



TDT 4200 Final Exam)
Parallel Computing
Saturday, Dec. 8, 2012
Time: 09:00 – 13:00

THIS EXAM IS GIVEN IN ENGLISH ONLY [Oppgavesett for dette faget foreligger kun på Engelsk, slik det er beskrevet i Emner-på-Nett (EpN)]

Instructional contacts during the final

Anne C. Elster, mobil: 981 02 638

ALL ANSWERS NEED TO BE WRITTEN ON THES EXAM SHEETS WHERE INDICATED AND THESE SHEETS TURNED IN FOR GRADING.

NO EXTRA SHEETS WILL BE GRADED!

Aids [Hjelpemidler]:

One handwritten note sheet with Department stamp and candidate's name may be used. It needs to be turned in with the exam (may remove name before turn-in)

Attached "Summary of MPI Routines and Their Arguments" is permitted as written aid.

No other aids, including calculators, are permitted

Grades will be assigned medio January 2012.

It is NOT necessary to justify your answer on true/false questions, unless requested.

Written by: Anne C. Elster Partially Checked by: Ruben Spaans

CANDIDATE NUMBER/Kandidatnr.:

1. WARM-UPS – TRUE/ FALSE (20 %)

Circle your answers -- Note: You will get a -1% negative score for each wrong answer and 0 for not answering or circling both TRUE and FALSE.

a) OpenMP is used for programming shared-memory systems	TRUE/FALSE
b) MPI programs may be SPMD	TRUE/FALSE
c) L1 Cache is the fastest memory available	TRUE/FALSE
d) A 4D hypercube has 8 nodes	. TRUE/FALSE
e) Large Switch statements may be improved my moving the most used case last	TRUE/FALSE
f) Domain decompositions is a form of task parallelism	TRUE/FALSE
g) Data locality is a mayor performance challenge	TRUE/FALSE
h) Caching is used to help overcome the memory bottleneck	TRUE/FALSE
i) Reduction operations are not parallelizable	TRUE/FALSE
j) Tail recursion is hard to parallelize	TRUE/FALSE
k) Pthread programs are implemented using compiler directives	TRUE/FALSE
l) OpenMP uses compiler directives	TRUE/FALSE
m) Large SIMD programs are especially well-suited for GPUs	TRUE/FALSE
n) GPUs use extensive branch prediction	TRUE/FALSE
o) CUDA threads may access any registers within a given warp	TRUE/FALSE
p) CUDA warps use the SIMD model	TRUE/FALSE
q) CUDA supports global synchronization	TRUE/FALSE
r) Constant memory in CUDA is read-only from host	TRUE/FALSE
s) OpenCL is generally less verbose than CUDA	TRUE/FALSE
t) OpenCL is closer to OpenMP than thread APIs such as POSIX	TRUE/FALSE

2. . PARALLEL COMPUTING BASICS (10%)

a) Let l= latency and b= bandwidth, n = size. Which formula describes the message transmission time? (Circle the correct answer)

i) b + n/l

ii) b + l/n

iii) l + n/b

iv) l+ b/n

b) Amdahl's Law says if a fraction r of a program isn't parallelizable, then the maximum speedup we can get is 1/r regardless of how many processes/threads/cores we use.

If initialization and I/O takes 5% of the time, what is the best possible speedup? (I.e. How many cores can we maximally make use of according to this law?)

c) How is Gustafson's law related to scalability?

d) Efficiency E = n / (p(n/p) + 1) = n/ (n+p) for n = problem size and p = no. of processes.

What is the difference between strongly scalable and weakly scalable in terms of efficiency?

i) A program is strongly scalable if _____

ii) A program is weakly scalable if:

iii) Is a program that obtains linear speed-up strongly scalable – Why/Why not?

e) The two main methods for cache coherence are:

i)______ ii)) _____

f) What is a critical section?

3. MPI (12%)

a)	Suggest one reason why a MPI computation would execute slower on a system with two processors than on a system with one processor.
_	
b) _	Identify one MPI routine that does not use a communicator as an argument.
c)	The main difference between using MPI_Send + MPI_Recv and MPI_Sendrecv? MPI_Sendrecv
d)	The difference between MPI_Sendrecv and MPI_Sendrecv_replace is that
	MPI_Sendrecv_replace
e)	Why is illegal in MPI to use the same buffer for I/O in MPI_Reduce (i.e. no aliasing)?

3 MPI Continued

f) Below are three snippets from a MPI program, which will be run with two processes.

Which of them MIGHT cause a deadlock? Briefly explain why.

Snippet
Because:
Snippet A:
<pre>int* source = (int*)malloc(sizeof(int)*1000); int* dest = (int*)malloc(sizeof(int)*1000);</pre>
int other = (rank + 1) % 2; // Rank of other process
// fill source with values MPI_Recv(dest, 1000, MPI_INT, other, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD, MPI_STATUS_IGNORE); MPI_Send(source, 1000, MPI_INT, other, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD);
Snippet B:
<pre>int* source = (int*)malloc(sizeof(int)*1000); // assume filled with values int* dest = (int*)malloc(sizeof(int)*1000);</pre>
int other = (rank + 1) % 2; // Rank of other process
// fill source with values MPI_Ssend(source, 1000, MPI_INT, other, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD); MPI_Recv(dest, 1000, MPI_INT, other, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD, MPI_STATUS_IGNORE);
Snippet C:
<pre>int* source = (int*)malloc(sizeof(int)*1000); // assume filled with values int* dest = (int*)malloc(sizeof(int)*1000);</pre>
int other = (rank + 1) % 2; // Rank of other process
// fill source with values MPI_Send(source, 1000, MPI_INT, other, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD); MPI_Recv(dest, 1000, MPI_INT, other, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD, MPI_STATUS_IGNORE);

4. OPTIMIZATIONS – (8%)

Short Answer	questions	fill in	the	blanks	(5%))
--------------	-----------	---------	-----	--------	------	---

W	hat is the difference between a DFT and an FFT?
Th	e DFT
W	hereas the FFT
A'	ΓLAS is a (circle the one that best fits):
a.	mapping program
b.	performance analyzer
c.	optimized BLAS library
d.	optimized C compiler
W	hat advantage does intrinsics offer over autovectorization?
	hen does it make sense to optimize/remove branches? rcle ALLthat apply)
•	when the call statement is not matched
b.	when the loop can be unrolled
c.	when the conditional branch is executed for the first time
d.	for conditional branches that have been executed more than once with which is frequently mis-predicted and take a significant amount of time
e.	Indirect calls and jumps (funtion pointers and jump tabes)
	hat is the difference between temporal and spatial locality in the context of ches?
Те	mporal locality here is
Sn	atial locality here is

a)	Name at	least two	differences	between a	process	and a	thread:
a_{j}	maille at	icasi inu	united chicks	between a	pi occas	anu a	un cau.

i) _____

ii) _____

b) The following code may be parallelize with OpenMP as shown:

```
for(int i = 0; i < N; i++){
            for(int j = i; j < N; j++){
                 array[i*N + j] = sin(i) + cos(j);
            }
}</pre>
```

Alternative A

#pragma omp parallel for

```
for(int i = 0; i < N; i++){
    for(int j = i; j < N; j++){
        array[i*N + j] = sin(i) + cos(j);
    }
}</pre>
```

Alternative B:

#pragma omp parallel for schedule(dynamic)

```
for(int i = 0; i < N; i++){
    for(int j = i; j < N; j++){
        array[i*N + j] = sin(i) + cos(j);
    }
}</pre>
```

If the above alternatives are run on a two-core processors, what (approx) speedups willl these alternatives achieve? Explain why.

i) Alterna	tive A Speedup =		
Because	<u>, </u>		

11)	Alternative B Speedup:		
Roca	1160.		

5. Continued -- More OpenMP

5 c) Consider the following code:

```
#pragma omp parallel for
for(int i = 0; i < 10; i++){
          for(int j = 0; j < 10; j++){
                array[i] += buffer[i*10 + j];
                array[j] -= buffer[i*10 + j];
          }
}</pre>
```

- a) What is a race condition?
- b) Explain why the code above has a race condition:

c) Consider the following attempt at removing the race condition:

Will this solve the problem? Circle answer a. YES b. NO

6. PThreads (10%)

Consider the following code:

```
double* pos;
double* vel;
void* updatePosition(void* threadid){
  long tid = (long)threadid;
  for(int i = tid*500; i < tid*500 + 500; i++){
    pos[i] = pos[i] + vel[i] * 0.1;
}
int main(){
  double pos = (double*)malloc(sizeof(double) * 1000);
  double vel = (double*)malloc(sizeof(double) * 1000);
  int dummy;
  pthread_t thread1, thread2;
  for(int i = 0; i < 1000000; i++){
    pthread create(&thread1, NULL, updatePosition, (void*)0);
    pthread create(&thread2, NULL, updatePosition, (void*)1);
    pthread_join(thread1, &dummy);
    pthread join(thread2, &dummy);
  }
}
```

a) Why is it slower than it could have been?

b) Change it, to remove this problem, and improve performance. Extra sheet to use on next page)

Extra sheet for 6 c), if needed

cudaMemcpy(image, deviceImage, 10000*10000 * sizeof(int),

cudaMemcpyHostToDevice);

8. GPU – PART II (15%)

a) Why is shared memory faster than global memory on GPUs?

Answer: The shared memory is faster because _____

b) In the following CUDA kernel, each block of threads will do a lot of processing on a part of the array "buffer". Improve the performance of the code by using shared memory by first loading the part of the array the block accesses into shared memory. Use the_shared_ double syntax. Remember to store back to global memory.

The size of "buffer" is 1000000. The kernel is launched with 1000 blocks of 1000 threads. Add in the modifications by hand to the code below (do not use extra sheet).

```
__global__ void kernel(int* buffer){
 int threadId = blockIdx.x*blockDim.x + threadIdx.x;
 for(int i = 0; i < 1000; i++){
    double sum;
    for(int j = 0; j < blockDim.x; j++){
      sum += buffer[threadId] - buffer[blockIdx.x*blockDim.x + j];
    }
    _syncthreads();
    buffer[threadId] *= sum;
    _syncthreads();
  }
}
```

8. CONTINUED -- GPU PART II

c) Consider the following CUDA kernel: _global___ void kernel(int* buffer){ int threadId = blockIdx.x*blockDim.x + threadIdx.x; $if(threadId \% 4 == 0){$ doSomething(buffer); else if(threadId % 4 == 1){ doSomethingElse(buffer); else if(threadId % 4 == 2){ doYetAnotherThing(buffer); else{ doSomethingCompletlyDifferent(buffer); } } i) What is the problem with this code? Why is this a problem? ii) Outline how you could solve this problem for this specific kernel (in words not code):

EXTRA PAGE (no other extra page will be graded!)