

Guidelines for Preventing Human Error in Process Safety

Center for Chemical Process Safety
of the
American Institute of Chemical Engineers
345 East 47th Street, New York, NY 10017

To the Memory of John Embrey, 1937–1993

Copyright © 1994
American Institute of Chemical Engineers
345 East 47th Street
New York, New York 10017

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise without the prior permission of the copyright owner.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in Publication Data

Guidelines for preventing human error in process safety.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-8169-0461-8

1. Chemical processes—Safety measures. 2. Human engineering.

I. American Institute of Chemical Engineers. Center for Chemical Process Safety.

TP155.5.G778 1994

660' .2804—dc20

94-2481

CIP

This book is available at a special discount when ordered in bulk quantities. For information, contact the Center for Chemical Process Safety of the American Institute of Chemical Engineers at the address shown above.

It is sincerely hoped that the information presented in this document will lead to an even more impressive safety record for the entire industry; however, the American Institute of Chemical Engineers, its consultants, CCPS subcommittee members, their employers, their employers' officers and directors, and Human Reliability Associates disclaim making or giving any warranties or representations, express or implied, including with respect to fitness, intended purpose, use or merchantability and/or correctness or accuracy of the content of the information presented in this document. As between (1) the American Institute of Chemical Engineers, its consultants, CCPS subcommittee members, their employers, their employers' officers and directors, and Human Reliability Associates and (2) the user of this document, the user accepts any legal liability or responsibility whatsoever for the consequence of its use or misuse.

Preface

The Center for Chemical Process Safety (CCPS) was established in 1985 by the American Institute of Chemical Engineers (AIChE) for the express purpose of assisting the Chemical and Hydrocarbon Process Industries in avoiding or mitigating catastrophic chemical accidents. To achieve this goal, CCPS has focused its work on four areas:

- establishing and publishing the latest scientific and engineering guidelines (not standards) for prevention and mitigation of incidents involving toxic and/or reactive materials;
- encouraging the use of such information by dissemination through publications, seminars, symposia and continuing education programs for engineers;
- advancing the state-of-the-art in engineering practices and technical management through research in prevention and mitigation of catastrophic events; and
- developing and encouraging the use of undergraduate education curricula that will improve the safety knowledge and awareness of engineers.

It is readily acknowledged that human errors at the operational level are a primary contributor to the failure of systems. It is often not recognized, however, that these errors frequently arise from failures at the management, design, or technical expert levels of the company. This book aims to show how error at all of these levels can be minimized by the systematic application of tools, techniques and principles from the disciplines of human factors, ergonomics, and cognitive psychology. The book is the result of a project in which a group of volunteer professionals from CCPS sponsor companies prepared a project proposal and then worked with the successful contractor, Dr. David Embrey of Human Reliability Associates, to produce this book. The ensuing dialogue has resulted in a book that not only provides the underlying principles and theories of the science of human factors, but also goes on to show their application to process safety problems and to the CCPS technical management of process safety system.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The American Institute of Chemical Engineers (AIChE) wishes to thank the Center for Chemical Process Safety (CCPS) and those involved in its operation, including its many sponsors, whose funding made this project possible; the members of its Technical Steering Committee who conceived of and supported this *Guidelines* project and the members of its Human Reliability Subcommittee for their dedicated efforts, technical contributions, and enthusiasm.

This book was written by Dr. David Embrey of Human Reliability Associates, with the assistance of the CCPS Human Reliability Subcommittee. Section 8.2, *Managing Human Error by Design*, which deals with the application of human factors principles in the process safety management system, was written by the Human Reliability Subcommittee.

- *The main authors of the text of the book were the following staff members of Human Reliability Associates:*
Dr. David Embrey
Dr. Tom Kontogiannis
Mark Green
- *Other contributions from the following individuals are gratefully acknowledged:*
Dr. Trevor Kletz
Dr. Deborah Lucas
Barry Kirwan
Andrew Livingston
- *The members of the Human Reliability Subcommittee were:*
Gary A. Page, American Cyanamid Co., (Chairman)
Joseph Balkey, Union Carbide Corp.
S. Barry Gibson, DuPont
Mark D. Johnson, Eastman Kodak Co.
Joseph B. Mettalia, Jr., CCPS Staff Consultant
Gary Van Sciver, Rohm and Haas Co.
Joseph C. Sweeney, ARCO Chemical Co.
- *Reviewers were:*
Daniel A. Crowl, Mich. Tech. University
Randolph A. Freeman, Monsanto Co.
Thomas O. Gibson, The Dow Chemical Co.
William N. Helmer, Hoechst Celanese Corp.
Michele M. Houser, Martin Marietta Energy Systems
Trevor A. Kletz, Process Safety Consultant
Donald K. Lorenzo, Process Safety Institute
Denise B. McCafferty, DNV Technica, Inc.
Michael T. McHale, Air Products and Chemicals, Inc.
David Meister, Consultant

Robert W. Ormsby, Air Products and Chemicals, Inc.
Wayne A. Pennycook, Exxon
John D. Snell, OxyChem
Marvin F. Specht, Hercules Incorporated
Donald Turner, CH2M Hill
Lester H. Wittenberg, CCPS

The Human Reliability Subcommittee wishes to express its appreciation to Lester Wittenberg, Thomas Carmody, and Bob G. Perry of CCPS for their enthusiastic support.

Glossary and Acronyms

GLOSSARY

Active Errors An active human error is an intended or unintended action that has an immediate negative consequence for the system.

Cognitive "tunnel vision" A characteristic of human performance under stress. Information is sought that confirms the initial hypothesis about the state of the process while disregarding information that contradicts the hypothesis.

Encystment A characteristic of human performance under stress. Encystment occurs when minor problems and details are focused on to excess while more important issues are ignored.

External Error Mode The observable form of an error, for example, an action omitted, as distinct from the underlying process

Externals Psychological classification of individuals who assume (when under stress), that the problem is out of their immediate control and therefore seek assistance.

Human Error Probability The probability that an error will occur during the performance of a particular job or task within a defined time period.
Alternative definition: The probability that the human operator will fail to provide the required system function within the required time.

Human Information-Processing A view of the human operator as an information-processing system. Information-processing models are conventionally expressed in terms of diagrams which indicate the flow of information through stages such as perception, decision-making, and action.

Human Reliability The probability that a job will be successfully completed within a required minimum time.

Human-Machine Interface The boundary across which information is transmitted between the process and the worker, for example, analog displays, VDUs.

- Internal Error Mechanism** The psychological process (e.g., strong stereotype takeover) that underlies an external error mode.
- Internal Error Mode** The stage in the sequence of events preceding an external error mode at which the failure occurred (e.g., failed to detect the initial signal).
- Internals** Individuals who, when under stress, are likely to seek information about a problem and attempt to control it themselves.
- Knowledge-Based Level of Control** Information processing carried out consciously as in a unique situation or by an unskilled or occasional user
- Latent error** An erroneous action or decision for which the consequences only become apparent after a period of time when other conditions or events combine with the original error to produce a negative consequence for the system.
- Locus of Control** The tendency of individuals to ascribe events to external or internal causes, which affects the degree of control that they perceive they have over these events. (See also *Externals* and *Internals*.)
- Manual Variability** An error mechanism in which an action is not performed with the required degree of precision (e.g., time, spatial accuracy, force).
- Mindset Syndrome** A stress-related phenomenon in which information that does not support a person's understanding of a situation is ignored. (See also *Cognitive tunnel vision*.)
- Mistakes** Errors arising from a correct intentions that lead to incorrect action sequences. Such errors may arise, for example, from lack of knowledge or inappropriate diagnosis.
- Performance-Influencing Factors** Factors that influence the effectiveness of human performance and hence the likelihood of errors.
- Population Stereotype** Expectations held by a particular population with regard to the expected movement of a control or instrument indicator and the results or implications of this movement
- Reactance** Occurs when a competent worker attempts to prove that his or her way of doing things is superior in response to being reassigned to a subordinate position.
- Recovery Error** Failure to correct a human error before its consequences occur.
- Risk Assessment** A methodology for identifying the sources of risk in a system and for making predictions of the likelihood of systems failures.
- Risk Homeostasis** The theory that an operator will attempt to maintain a stable perception of risk following the implementation of new technology that increases the safety of a human-machine system. The theory predicts that operators will take greater risks where more safety devices are incorporated into the system.

- Role Ambiguity** Exists when an individual has inadequate information about his or her roles or duties.
- Role Conflict** Exists when there is the simultaneous occurrence of two or more sets of responsibilities or roles such that compliance with one is not compatible with compliance with the other(s).
- Root Causes** The combinations of conditions or factors that underlie accidents or incidents.
- Rule-Based Level of Control** In the context of chemical industry tasks, the type of human information processing in which diagnoses are made and actions are formulated on the basis of rules (e.g., "if the symptoms are X then the problem is Y").
- Rule Book Culture** An organization in which management or workers believe that all safety problems can be resolved by rigid adherence to a defined set of rules.
- Skill-Based Level of Control** A mode of information processing characterized by the smooth execution of highly practiced, largely physical actions requiring little conscious monitoring.
- Slips** Errors in which the intention is correct but failure occurs when carrying out the activity required. Slips occur at the skill-based level of information processing.
- Stereotype Fixation** Occurs when an individual misapplies rules or procedures that are usually successful.
- Stereotype Takeover** Occurs when an incorrect but highly practiced action is substituted for a correct but less frequently occurring action in a similar task. Also called a *strong habit intrusion*.
- Traditional Safety Engineering** A safety management policy that emphasizes individual responsibility for system safety and the control of error by the use of motivational campaigns and punishment.
- Vagabonding** Stress-related phenomenon in which a person's thoughts move rapidly and uncontrollably among issues, treating each superficially.
- Verbal Protocol Analysis** Technique in which the person is asked to give a "self-commentary" as he or she undertakes a task.
- Violation** An error that occurs when an action is taken that contravenes known operational rules, restrictions, and/or procedures. The definition of violations excludes actions taken to intentionally harm the system (i.e., sabotage).

ACRONYMS

AT	Area Technician
CADET	Critical Action and Decision Evaluation Technique

CADs	Critical Actions or Decisions
CCPS	Center for Chemical Process Safety
CCR	Central Control Room
CCTV	Closed-Circuit Television
CHAP	Critical Human Action Profile
CPI	Chemical Process Industry
CPQRA	Chemical Process Quantitative Risk Assessment
CR	Control Room
CRT	Cathode Ray Tube
CSE	Cognitive Systems Engineering
CT	Critical Tasks
CTI	Critical Task Identification
CV	Current Values
DA chart	Decision Action Chart
ECFC	Events and Causal Factors Charting
ERS	Error Reduction Strategies
FMECA	Failure Modes and Effects of Criticality Analysis
GEMS	Generic Error Modeling System
HAZOP	Hazard and Operability Study
HEA	Human Error Analysis
HEP	Human Error Probability
HFAM	Human Factors Assessment Methodology
HFE/E	Human Factors Engineering and Ergonomics Approach
HMI	Human–Machine Interface
HPES	Human Performance Evaluation System
HPIP	Human Performance Investigation Process
HRA	Human Reliability Analysis
HRAM	Human Reliability Assessment Method
HRP	Hazard Release Potential
HSP	Hazard Severity Potential
HTA	Hierarchical Task Analysis
IDA	Influence Diagram Approach
IMAS	Influence Modeling and Assessment System
IRS	Incident Reporting Systems
ISRS	International Safety Rating Systems
LTA	Less Than Adequate
MAST	Memory and Search Test

MORT	Management Oversight and Risk Tree
MSM	Molecular Sieve Module
NIOSH	National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health
NMRS	Near Miss Reporting System
NRC	US Nuclear Regulatory Commission
OAET	Operator Action Event Tree
OSD	Operational Sequence Diagram
P&ID	Piping and Instrumentation Diagram
PA	Public Address
PCS	Process Control System
PDCC	Program Development and Coordination Committee
PHEA	Predictive Human Error Analysis
PIF	Performance Influencing Factors
PORV	Pilot-Operated Relief Valve
PPE	Personal Protective Equipment
PRV	Pressure Relief Valve
PSA	Probabilistic Safety Analysis
PSF	Performance Shaping Factors
QRA	Quantitative Risk Assessment
RCAS	Root Cause Analysis System
RHT	Risk Homeostasis Theory
SFG	Signal Flow Graphs
SLI	Success Likelihood Index
SLIM	Success Likelihood Index Method
SM	Separator Module
SOP	Standard Operating Procedure
SORTM	Stimulus Operation Response Team Performance
SP	Set Points
SPEAR	System for Predictive Error Analysis and Reduction
SRK	Skill–Rule–Knowledge–Based Model
STAHR	Sociotechnical Approach to Human Reliability
STEP	Sequentially Timed Events Plotting Procedure
TA	Task Analysis
THERP	Technique for Human Error Rate Prediction
TQM	Total Quality Management
TSE	Traditional Safety Engineering
VDU	Visual Display Unit