


PAUL RUDOLPH

Oriental Masonic Gardens



Latitude _ 41.3° N

Longitude _ 72.9° W

Climate: transition between

Cfa _ **Humid Subtropical** and

Dfa_ Humid Continental climate



Fig. 1 - View from construction phase

INTRODUCTION

Project name: Oriental Masonic Gardens

Architect: Paul Rudolph

Program: residential

Construction: 1968-1971

Demolished: 1981

Location: New Haven, Connecticut, USA

Site: inner-city

Construction technology: prefabricated, modular



Fig. 1 - Axonometric view

The concept of this project is "the mobile home", or, as Paul Rudolph labeled it – "The house of the Twentieth century".

The modularity of the building allows for 148 building units to be built on 4.700 sqm site area.



Fig. 1 - Satellite view




Fig. 1 - Site plan




Fig. 2 - Roof view



Fig. 2 - First floor plan.




Fig. 2 - Ground floor plan.

Clusters of four prefabricated mobile units pivoted in pinwheel formation, each unit with its own private entrance and outdoor space. The arrangement follows a pocket court plan that provides a separate outside space for each family.

A lower module contains living spaces. A second module above it houses two or three bedrooms and it is shifted from the ground floor. The kitchens and the bathrooms are overlapped, the utility core being placed at the junction of the volumes. A third module may be added, parallel to the lowest one, for additional bedrooms.

Each “brick” is formed by 3-4 modules of aprox. 3.6 m by 3.6 m and 3.6 m by 4.6 m, with the maximum length of 18 m, according to the traffic regulations.

The volumes follow a stacking organization. They are factory assembled with plumbing, wiring and finishes and trucked to the site.

Because of the modularity and mobility of the units, the entire arrangement had the potential to be disbanded and reconstituted.

The openings are also modulated and oriented towards the sheltered outdoor space of each house.

The roof has a curved shape, following the E-W direction.






Fig. 3 - Climate derived data.

Fig. 3 - Psychrometric chart




Fig.4 - Localization of the house in comparison with Cfa Humid Subtropical climate boundaries according to Köppen-Geiger climate classifications.


CLIMATE ANALYSIS

New Haven lies in the transition between a humid continental climate (Köppen climate classification: Dfa) and humid subtropical climate (Köppen Cfa), but having more characteristics of the former, as is typical of much of the New York metropolitan area.

Summers are humid and very warm and winters are cold with moderate snowfall interspersed with rainfall and occasionally mixed precipitation. According to the weather tool, the climate is Cfa - Moist mid-latitude climate with mild winters. Humid subtropical characteristics are present, with hot muggy summers and thunderstorms. The winters are mild with precipitation from mid-latitude cyclones.

Warmest month is above or equal 22 C.

During the winter months the heating is required 100% of the time while the cooling is only required for only 30% in a peak month of august. This translates into 86 times more heating degree hours than cooling degree hours.



CLIMATE FACTORS

Temperature: The temperature throughout the year is below the comfortable range except during the summer season {May, June and July} when it is in the comfortable range. The rest of the year has temperature below the comfortable range. During winters the temperature goes below 0 degree Celsius.{January and December}. Hence this region experiences sever climate of mostly cool and cold temperature. Snow is common in the winters.

Relative humidity: The humidity levels for comfort levels is between 20 to 80 and the region experiences a fluctuating behavior of the relative humidity which rises to 100 in January and the lowest is 40 in the month of February. The rest of the month has the humidity of around 50 to 60.

Effective natural ventilation speed limit: the speed limit throughout the year is good within the limit of 2.00m/s to 4.00 m/s. There is a fluctuation in the wind speed for every month. Also There are even spells where the speed reaches up to 6.00 m/s.

Annual rainfall: There is rainfall in this region throughout the year with average mean rainfall 90mm.




Fig.5 - Climatic factors




Fig.6 - Wind selective analysis. Annual and Summer




Fig.7 - Passive strategies potential.

PASSIVE STRATEGIES FOR COMFORT

In these diagrams extracted from the weather tool, we can see the different passive strategies for thermal comfort.

The most effective strategies are the Thermal mass effects, the Exposed mass + night ventilation (from April to November) and the Natural ventilation and indirect evaporative cooling (from June to September).

These strategies are effective during the warm months. The rest of the year conventional heating is needed.

Psychrometric Analysis: The diagram shows that temperature experienced in this region mostly falls in the Wet bulb temperature zone throughout the year. Its only during June, July, August and September that the temperature would give comfortable indoor environment.

If we select various design techniques like passive solar heating, thermal mass effects, exposed mass + night-purge ventilation, natural ventilation and indirect evaporative cooling than we would be able to achieve comfort zone for the indoor climate.




Fig.8 - Psychrometric chart analysis



Fig.9- Morphological analysis
N-E cluster and S-W cluster

Fig.10.1 - Direct solar radiation.




Fig.10.2 - Diffuse solar radiation.




Fig.10.3 - Average cloud cover





Fig.10.4 - Relative humidity



SOLAR RADIATION ANALYSIS

Compactness...

Indirect solar radiation is relative high throughout the year, with values of more than 600W/mp for 8 hours/day. The indirect solar radiation is also uniform, with a minimum of 200W/mp.

Due to the position in the subtropical climate, both the cloud cover and relative humidity are high. The cloud cover is high especially during the winter months while the relative humidity is higher during the warm months.

Optimal orientation is at SSE and the form has a ratio of 1:1.6. The closest volume is the ground floor of the SW cluster.





Fig.141 - Shadow range




Fig.11 - Ground floor_Light analysis for NE an SW cluster

LIGHT AND SHADE

Using the grid analysis and Radiance we were able to compare again the two clusters and the 4 volumes contained. The measurements were made at 1 m above the floor.

The windows are placed on the same principle, punctual and non uniform on the volume direction.

We can see that the areas near the windows receive *aprox. ----* while the darker parts of the room receive *less than...*

The volumes from the ground floor receive approximately the same amount of light, having windows oriented towards E and W. Still, the W volume has a better luminance, due to the low evening sun.




Fig.11 - N-E cluster_Shading analysis




Fig.11 - N-E cluster_Ground floor Shading analysis




Fig.143 - N-E cluster ground floor (living room)
Interior view _Radiance. Noon.




Fig.11 - S-W cluster_Shading analysis




Fig.11 - S-W cluster_Ground floor Shading analysis



Fig.143 - S-W cluster ground floor (living room)
Interior view _Radiance. Noon.




Fig.11 - First floor_ Light analysis for NE an SW cluster

The differences are underlined better on the first floor. While the N volume receives little light, starting from... , the S volume receives more light, too much...




Fig.11 - N-E cluster_First floor Shading analysis




Fig.11 - S-W cluster_First floor Shading analysis




Fig.143 - N-E cluster first floor (bedroom) Interior view _Radiance. Noon.




Fig.143 - S-W cluster first floor (bedroom) Interior view _Radiance. Noon.




Fig.11 - Section_Lighting analysis using grid analysis




Fig. 144 - Wind patterns.
East and South direction

Energy modeling.

The images from the wind tunnel show a potential for cross ventilation. This was possible if the volumes had windows on both sides and they were arranged with spaces in between.

According to the SIM model input, we can see that the SW cluster is slightly bigger than the NE one, with one module. The differences reflect in the resource consumptions, where the first one has higher values both for cooling and for heating.

SIM model input.	Unit	NE	SW
GEOMETRY resume			
Heated surface	m	88.6	102.33
Conditioned volume	m	193	350
Exposed wall surface	m ²	98.7 33	139. 29
Roof area	m	77	91.22
Windows area	m	19.54	26.47
	South	0	11.54
	North	8.00	0
	East	11.54	0
	West	0	8.00
Glass ratio	%	20	20.8





Fig. 144 - Materials.

Thermal zone settings			
Occupancy	W/m ²	1,5	Climate data: New Haven Lat: 41.31° N Lng: 72.9°S
Lighting	W/m ²	1,95	
Tec. equipment	W/m ²	1,8	
Operation time	h/d/w	16/7/ 52	
Heating and cooling set point temperature	°C	19-26	
		unit	value
Timber framed walls	U-value	W/m ² K	2.20
Concrete Slab (Floor)	U-Value	W/m ² K W/m ² K	0.88
Ethyl vinyl Acetate coated on tin sheets with Air-gap (Roof)	U-value	W/m ² K ach	7.1
Windows (single glazed Timber frame)	U-value	W/m ² K	5.1
Air tightness		ach	2
Thermal bridges	Thermal		0.03

Fig. 146 - Heating and cooling energy demand.
Bright red and blue - N-E cluster
Light red and blue - S-W cluster



DISCUSSIONS

Urban: the geometric arrangement of identical units pivoted in pinwheel formation ignores the differences due to orientation.

Technical - solutions that led to leaking problems. Lack of cross ventilation.

Economic: close to the cost of a site built house, due to setbacks a series of setbacks.

Social: the resembling with the trailers made people who inhabited these dwellings to think that they were beneath them.

Conclusions:

The most interesting point of the project is that it recalls an important moment when leading American architects turned their attention to the period's housing crisis.

The concept of "The brick of the Twentieth century" is provocative on two levels: first, that the building module or "the brick" is something that could be inhabitable and not merely constructive; the architect celebrated the fact that the project contained virtually no technological innovation. Secondly, the suggestion that the architects must scale up building density to meet housing needs.

References:

Barry Bergdoll, Peter Christensen, Ron Broadhurs, *Home Delivery: Fabricating the Modern Dwelling* (New York, MOMA, 2008) 154.

<http://prudolph.lib.umassd.edu/node/4492>

Weather file from: <http://apps1.eere.energy.gov/>